

26 AUG 1975

DDS&T 3410-75

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Review Staff, Office of Personnel

STATINTL SUBJECT : Revision of [redacted]

1. I have reviewed both the current and draft regulation. The latter is a vast improvement over the former, since it legitimizes the supervisory function that many SPS personnel perform and is a much more straightforward piece of writing.

2. While I understand the reasoning behind the minimum experience requirement [redacted], occasions may arise when an individual being considered for a position is fully capable of assuming SPS level responsibilities but lacks the required seven years of experience. To accommodate such situations, I suggest the revised regulation be modified to permit Agency components to assign individuals with less than seven years experience to SPS positions. Exceptions of this sort would have to be fully justified in writing and would need the concurrence and approval of the appropriate deputy director, Director of Personnel and the Director of Central Intelligence.

STATINTL

[redacted]
Executive Officer
DDS&T

UNCLASSIFIED INTERNAL
ONLY CONFIDENTIAL SECRETApproved For Release 2002/05/01 : CIA-RDP82-00957R000600080021-9
ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

PERS 15-2330

FROM:		EXTENSION 3465	NO.	
Chief, Review Staff, OP 626 C of C			DATE 2 October 1975	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS KJM	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. DD/Pers-P&C		10/3		Attached is a second revision of the SPS Regulation, [redacted] DDS&T asked that we remove the time restrictions on experience, and we found that government as a whole has gradually dropped the specific time periods, so deleted the seven and three year experience requirements. [para e(1)]
2.				
3. DD/Pers		10/3		
4.				
5. D/Pers	10/3 OCT 1975			In discussions we found that the Directorates have not been obtaining DCI approval for intra-Directorate moves of SPS personnel for several years. Practice becoming policy, we dropped that requirement as well. However, we did retain the requirement for DCI approval for inter-Directorate moves and included the review authority for vacancies and assignments of the Supergrade Review Panel, albeit as far as we can find out, the Panel has met only once and then to discuss allotments of positions rather than vacancy and people. However, it is also included in [redacted] Supergrade Personnel, 26X1A and is supposed to be a functioning Panel.
6.				
7. Chief, Review Staff 626 C of C				The Comptroller representative informally coordinated on the first revision and had only editorial comments on the Comptroller paragraph.
8.	File with [redacted]			
9.	Over [redacted]			
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.			No	
14.			OK [redacted]	
15.				

STAT INTL

Approved For Release 2002/05/10 : CIA-RDP82-O0357R00060008021-9
SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

STATINTL

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	626 C of C		B
2			
3	Frank		
4			
5			
6			
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE	

Remarks:

Even 7 years requires all
that concurrence - Do
you want to take up with
January. S&T fees SPS
as their own body and not
as a result of established experience!

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
DD/S&T PERSONNEL	8/27/75
UNCLASSIFIED	SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/05/10 : CIA-RDP82-O0357R00060008021-9
UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/05/01 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000600080021-9

Attached is draft of memo
with Ljorges comments in
green.

~~If~~ Once Jenny is on
board, ~~she~~ we can go to Jim
for processing.

- ① exceptions can be granted
- ②